

Entered on Docket August 09, 2011

13				
Hon. Linda B. Riegle				
United States Bankruntcy Judge				

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE:	§	Case No. 09-14814-LBR
	§	(Jointly Administered)
THE RHODES	§	
COMPANIES, LLC,	§	
aka "Rhodes Homes," et al.,	§	Chapter 11
	§	
Reorganized Debtors. <sup>1</sup>	§	Hearing Date: 8/2/2011
	§	Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m. (PST)
	§	Courtroom 1
Affects:	§	
X All Debtors	§	
Affects the following	§	
Debtor(s)	§	
	§	

ORDER DENYING JAMES RHODES' MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER SUSTAINING REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO JAMES RHODES' ENTITLEMENT TO THE TAX CLAIM FOUND IN PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 814-33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Reorganized Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, if applicable, are: Heritage Land Company, LLC (2918); The Rhodes Companies, LLC (3060); Rhodes Ranch General Partnership (1760); Tick, LP (0707); Glynda, LP (5569); Chalkline, LP (0281); Batcave, LP (6837); Jackknife, LP (6189); Wallboard, LP (1467); Overflow, LP (9349); Rhodes Ranch Golf and Country Club (9730); Tuscany Acquisitions, LLC (0206); Tuscany Acquisitions II, LLC (8693); Tuscany Acquisitions III, LLC (9777); Tuscany Acquisitions IV, LLC (0509); Parcel 20 LLC (5534); Rhodes Design and Development Corp. (1963); C&J Holdings, Inc. (1315); Rhodes Realty, Inc. (0716); Jarupa LLC (4090); Elkhorn Investments, Inc. (6673); Rhodes Homes Arizona, LLC (7248); Rhodes Arizona Properties, LLC (8738); Tribes Holdings LLC (4347); Six Feathers Holdings, LLC (8451); Elkhorn Partners, A Nevada Limited Partnership (9654); Bravo Inc. (2642); Gung-Ho Concrete, LLC (6966); Geronimo Plumbing, LLC (6897); Apache Framing, LLC (6352); Tuscany Golf Country Club, LLC (7132); Pinnacle Grading, LLC (4838).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon consideration of the pleadings filed by the parties with respect to this matter; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider those pleadings and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409, and the facts being as follows:

WHEREAS, on May 27, 2010, the Reorganized Debtors filed the Reorganized Debtors' Objection to James' Rhodes Proof of Claim No. 814-33 and Notice of Amendment of Schedules of Assets and Liabilities.

WHEREAS, on November 16, 2010, the Court entered its Order Sustaining Reorganized Debtors' Objection to James Rhodes' Entitlement to the Tax Claim Found in Proof of Claim No. 814-33 (the "Order").

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2010, Rhodes filed (i) James Rhodes' Notice of Appeal pursuant to which Rhodes appealed the Order; and (ii) James Rhodes' Statement of Election to Appeal to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada (collectively, the "Appeal").

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2011, the Parties filed the Stipulation and Order Dismissing Appeal Without Prejudice pursuant to which Rhodes agreed to dismiss his appeal, without prejudice, until such time as this Court ruled on the Remaining Claims.<sup>2</sup>

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2011, Rhodes filed James Rhodes' Motion to Reconsider Order Sustaining Reorganized Debtors' Objection to James Rhodes' Entitlement to the Tax Claim Found in Proof of Claim No. 814-33 (the "Reconsideration Motion").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Reconsideration Motion or the Opposition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

27

WHEREAS, on July 19, 2011, the Reorganized Debtors filed their Opposition to James Rhodes' Motion to Reconsider Order Sustaining Reorganized Debtors' Objection to James Rhodes' Entitlement to the Tax Claim Found in Proof of Claim No. 814-33 (the 'Opposition').

WHEREAS, on July 26, 2011, Rhodes filed his Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Reconsider Order Sustaining Reorganized Debtors' Objection to James Rhodes' Entitlement to the Tax Claim Found in Proof of Claim No. 814-33.

WHEREAS, on August 2, 2011, this Court heard argument with respect to the Reconsideration Motion (the "Hearing").

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3008, and for the reasons set forth in the Opposition and as stated by the Court in its oral ruling at the Hearing, the Reconsideration Motion is DENIED; and
- 2. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this Order.

///

/// 23 /// 24

28

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:							
2	DATED this 9 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011.							
3								
4	By:	/s/ Kevin N. Anderson		Abid Qureshi	1 002020			
5	Ne	EVIN N. ANDERSON evada Bar No. 4512	KOLE	eatham (NV Bar N SAR & LEATH	AM			
6	60	ABIAN & CLENDENIN, P.C. 1 South Tenth Street, Suite 102	Las Ve	uth Rampart Bou gas, NV 89145	•			
7		s Vegas, Nevada 89101 dephone: (702) 233-4444	(702) 3	62-7800 (Telepho 62-9472 (Facsim	ile)			
8		csimile: (702) 894-9466	Nleatha	am@klnevada.com	<u>m</u>			
9	Co	ounsel for James M. Rhodes	AKIN FELD	GUMP STRAUS LLP	SS HAUER &			
10				C. Dublin (NY Ba Jureshi (NY Bar N				
11			Meredi	th Lahaie (NY Ba yant Park				
12			New Y	ork, NY 10036 72-1000 (Telepho	one)			
13			(212) 8	72-1000 (Facsim n@akingump.com	ile)			
14			aguresh	ni@akingump.com e@akingump.com	<u>n</u>			
15				el for the Reorgo	_			
16	LR 90	21(c) Certification:						
18		itely reflects the court's ruling and that (			ios mai mo ordor			
19	The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).							
		No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.						
20	I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has							
21		approved or disapproved the order, o	r failed to res	spond, as indicate	ed below [list each			
22		party and whether the party has app document]:	oroved, disap	proved, or lailed	to respond to the			
23		Party	<u>Approved</u>	Disapproved	Failed to Respond			
24		Kevin N. Anderson	X					
25	I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this c							
26		with the motion pursuant to LR 9014 content of the order.	(g), and that i	no party has obje	cted to the form or			
27	###							
28								